Clouder AB16 55Q

Application No. 13061 14 Domerues let.

It is with designed that I received your Plansing app. Swely there are other empty properties. Sor example next door in Dominies Rd. No 16 bould be turned into two Slats. You are always shouting not enough living accommodation in absorber and you waste this chance.

I do not like the idea of people in sout of 1/4. I have always felt safe living here but not if this happens

You say we have 21 days to object.

If this is so how come the worksmen are in and out of this property NOW pro

as for as I am roncerned this is a decentiful move by aberdeen bouncied. When you get old, I hope you remember this aid it comes back to haunt you

NO NO NO NO



26, Dominies Court, application Mumber 130161 Roschill. alierdeen. Aberdeen bity bouncil
Pilanning Dept AB16-530 18 2 13 Deal Six or Madam, With regard to your letter No 14, Dominies bourt into a becial Case + Wellbeing, Hub. I advise you now, that I reject your proposal - firstly, it would have been prudent had you let me know in detail the purpose of the Hub. as you know Dominies Court 15 Sheltered accomodation with security paramount in the building. A hub would put a worrying question begarding tat security You must also be aware, these is an adjacent building lying empty & has been for sometime, which could be used, Mow regarding parking - Our car park has minimal parking space - which is used up by Genants or their Families when they visit , + with Carers coming out + in

mxmr Roid 130461 16 Dominies bourt Roschill AB16550 letter Friday 14th Feb about ing and be safe in knowing we had to get off stairs and such like I phoned the council and did nt get much information. Please register our objection to this proposal,

Planning ApplicationNo130161
Dominies court
Social care and wellbeing hub
No130161

I feel I must oppose the above planning application

22 FEB 2018

The proposal to set up this HUB within a safe and secure sheltered complex <u>defeats</u> the idea of the complex being safe and secure for the elderly.

The movement of strangers to and from the complex and the free movement to access all of the complex—can be frightening.

The unoccupied buildings on dominies road would seem to be a better option.

()	Name P.H.	y K.L.IS	WILSO	Ŋ	T)
	Flat number				
	Signature				

From:

<webmaster@aberdeencity.gov.uk>

To:

<pi@aberdeencity.gov.uk>

Date:

22/02/2013 12:33

Subject:

Planning Comment for 130161

Comment for Planning Application 130161

Name : Mary Imray

Address: 13 Dominies Court

Aberdeen AB16 5JQ

Telephone:

Email

týpe

Comment: I write to object to the change of use proposed for 14 Dominies Court, this property is next door to mine. I live in a safe and secure building for elderly and vulnerable residents. To have a hub which can be used by non-residents will severely impact on our lives. There can be no question that security will be affected and the prospect of strangers having access to our building is frightening to myself and other residents. I also anticipate a considerable nuisance factor with comings and goings of people. There are surely more suitable alternative properties which could be adapted for the purposes served by this hub.

100

RECEIVED 25 FEB 2018
RECEIVED 25 FEB 2018
RECEIVED 25 FEB 2018
RECEIVED 250 FEB 2018
REC

Untitled

From: <webmaster@aberdeencity.gov.uk>

To: <pi@aberdeencity.gov.uk>

Date: 17/02/2013 17:09

Subject: Planning Comment for 130161

Comment for Planning Application 130161

Name: Donald & Margaret Pine

Address:

Dominies Road Aberdeen, AB16 5JQ

Telephone:

Email:

Type:

Comment: I was disappointed to receive the notification that flat number 14 was changing use to a social care and well-being hub.

The reason we moved into Dominies court was for the added security of the building, but this is very likely to be compromised by

individuals coming and going from the building on a regular basis for appointments at this Hub.

We pay an additional charge on our rent for this security and warden service, and if this is to be compromised so easily, then I feel

this charge is being paid for services that may no lonnger exist.

We strongly object to this change of use for the flat and hope you will take our concerns into account before making final decisions on this.

Untitled

From: <webmaster@aberdeencity.gov.uk>

To: <pi@aberdeencity.gov.uk>

Date: 21/02/2013 10:56

Subject: Planning Comment for 130161

Comment for Planning Application 130161

Name: james reid

Address: 43 deansloch terrace

Aberdeen

Telephone: Email: Type:

Comment: I object to the change of use for the former house into a well being centre as this is a secure facility for the elderly.

When you open this up as a clinic you expose the residents to access by being a clinic. My question would be who is the clinic

for? If the answer is the elderly:-

- 1. There is a cost involved in transporting them to this facility
- 2. If it is for the public, you have now exposed the current residents to possible break ins.
- 3. Parking issues for the current residents.
- 4. Have the current residents been informed of the plan for this facility, and if not, why not?